

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-31 are all the claims pending in the application. By this Amendment, Applicant amends claims 1, 13, 15, 26, 27, 30, and 31.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112**

**Claims 13-25, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement.** Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Applicant amends claim 13 to recite, *inter alia*, “a processing means...and a memory.” Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 13 and its dependent claims satisfy 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Applicant respectfully notes that the “memory” was previously recited in claim 15, and an exemplary memory is illustrated in FIG. 1 and at least described from page 11, line 34 to page 12, line 1 of the specification.

**Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite.** Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Applicant respectfully submits that the term a “third generation (3G) communication network,” as recited in claims 26-31, is clear. Specifically, Applicant respectfully submits a person having ordinary skill in the art would understand that a “third generation (3G) communication network” would be a 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation (3G) communication network, which is a well-known type of communication network. For example, such a network may be a Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) network, as described at page 7, lines 25 to 28 of the specification.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 26-31 satisfy 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101**

**Claims 1-12 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter.** Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Applicant amends claim 1 to recite, *inter alia*, “by a gateway of the random access communication network, dividing time intervals into time slots...”. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is tied to a statutory category of subject matter, and hence, claim 1 and its dependent claims satisfy 35 U.S.C. § 101.

**Conclusion**

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Christopher J. Bezak /

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

---

Christopher J. Bezak  
Registration No. 63,241

Date: **March 20, 2009**